

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

7632u
0.2

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

AUG 21 1967

CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

U. S. Forest Service
Research Paper RM-26
1967

YIELD TABLES FOR MANAGED STANDS
OF
Lodgepole Pine In Colorado and Wyoming

by
Clifford A. Myers

YIELD TABLES FOR MANAGED STANDS
OF
LODGEPOLE PINE IN COLORADO AND WYOMING

by

Clifford A. Myers, Principal Mensurationist

Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station¹

¹ Central headquarters maintained in cooperation with Colorado State University at Fort Collins.

Contents

	Page
Management decisions	1
Working tools	3
Periodic increase in stand diameter	3
Diameter increase from thinning	4
Periodic increase in basal area	4
Height of dominants and codominants	5
Stand volume equation	5
Volume conversion factors	6
Derivation of yield tables	6
Yield tables	11-20

Yield Tables for Managed Stands of Lodgepole Pine in Colorado and Wyoming

by

Clifford A. Myers

Estimates of the growth and yield of managed stands can be obtained before managed stands are created. These estimates, compiled as yield tables, serve as guides for timber management decisions. Yields can be investigated to determine if management for timber production is a desirable goal for specific areas. Sets of yield tables can be prepared with various stocking levels, rotation lengths, and other variables subject to manipulation. How various management alternatives will affect yields can be estimated by comparing values in the tables.

An advance look at possible future returns is especially important for lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl.). This species reproduces readily and is a classic example of stagnation in growth. So much has been published on the growth of dense stands that the potential of lodgepole pine is often ignored. Amount of precipitation and length of growing season do create definite upper limits to yields in the lodgepole pine type. These limits, however, appear to be subject to increase through standard timber management techniques. Yield tables for managed stands indicate the increase possible, and the combination of management controls that can produce the desired result.

This publication presents: (1) working tools and other guides useful in the compilation of yield tables for managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine, and (2) examples of managed-stand yield tables. Instructions are limited to use of the working tools. Detailed explanations

of how to obtain the working tools are available elsewhere.²

Management procedures to be examined with the yield tables may be varied within limits set by the ranges of variables used to develop the working tools. Stand age at initial thinning, stocking goals, and other management controls can be varied to determine their effect on timber production. The yield table that best describes the objectives of management becomes the standard for the forest. Similarly, each yield table reproduced here is based on one of several possible combinations of management goals. Managers of lodgepole pine who prefer other alternatives can use the working tools to compile yield tables that will describe their objectives.

Management Decisions

Several decisions must be made before yield tables can be compiled with aid of the working tools. As examples of the problems to be solved, the following decisions were made for the yield tables presented here:

1. Stands will be regenerated by clearcutting, with most seeds coming from the serotinous cones of trees felled on the cutting area.

² Myers, Clifford A. *Yield tables for managed stands with special reference to the Black Hills*. U.S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-21, 20 p., illus. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ft. Collins, Colo. 1966.

2. Cultural operations such as slash disposal will be used to control seedling density so there will be about 2,000 well-distributed seedlings per acre when they are 10 years old.
3. Initial thinning can be delayed until the stands are 30 years old if density does not greatly exceed 2,000 trees per acre at age 10.
4. Desirable stocking levels, as defined in table 1, will usually range from 80 to 100. Various stocking levels are given in the columns of table 1. Basal area per acre increases with average diameter of the reserve stand (left column of table) until a diameter of 10.0 inches is reached. After this, stands are rethinned to the same basal area each time. For example, stands man-

Table 1.--Growing stock levels for lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.
Basal areas after intermediate cutting in relation to average stand diameter.

Ave. stand : d.b.h. after : cutting	Growing stock level							
	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120
Inches	Square feet per acre							
2.0	7.7	9.2	10.8	12.3	13.8	15.4	16.9	18.4
2.2	9.0	10.8	12.6	14.4	16.2	18.0	19.8	21.6
2.4	10.4	12.4	14.5	16.6	18.7	20.8	22.8	24.9
2.6	11.8	14.2	16.5	18.9	21.3	23.6	26.0	28.4
2.8	13.3	16.0	18.6	21.3	24.0	26.6	29.3	32.0
3.0	14.8	17.7	20.6	23.6	26.6	29.5	32.4	35.4
3.2	16.2	19.5	22.8	26.0	29.2	32.5	35.8	39.0
3.4	17.8	21.3	24.8	28.4	32.0	35.5	39.0	42.6
3.6	19.2	23.0	26.9	30.7	34.5	38.4	42.2	46.0
3.8	20.6	24.7	28.8	32.9	37.0	41.1	45.2	49.4
4.0	22.0	26.4	30.8	35.2	39.6	44.0	48.4	52.8
4.2	23.5	28.2	32.9	37.6	42.3	47.0	51.7	56.4
4.4	24.9	29.8	34.8	39.8	44.8	49.8	54.7	59.7
4.6	26.4	31.6	36.9	42.2	47.5	52.8	58.0	63.3
4.8	27.9	33.4	39.0	44.6	50.2	55.8	61.3	66.9
5.0	29.2	35.0	40.9	46.7	52.5	58.4	64.2	70.0
5.2	30.5	36.6	42.7	48.8	54.9	61.0	67.1	73.2
5.4	31.8	38.2	44.5	50.9	57.3	63.6	70.0	76.4
5.6	33.0	39.6	46.2	52.8	59.4	66.0	72.6	79.2
5.8	34.2	41.0	47.9	54.7	61.5	68.4	75.2	82.0
6.0	35.4	42.4	49.5	56.6	63.7	70.8	77.8	84.9
6.2	36.6	43.9	51.2	58.5	65.8	73.1	80.4	87.8
6.4	37.7	45.2	52.8	60.3	67.8	75.4	82.9	90.4
6.6	38.8	46.5	54.2	62.0	69.8	77.5	85.2	93.0
6.8	39.9	47.8	55.8	63.8	71.8	79.8	87.7	95.7
7.0	40.9	49.1	57.3	65.5	73.7	81.9	90.1	98.2
7.2	41.9	50.2	58.6	67.0	75.4	83.8	92.1	100.5
7.4	42.8	51.3	59.8	68.4	77.0	85.5	94.0	102.6
7.6	43.6	52.4	61.1	69.8	78.5	87.2	96.0	104.7
7.8	44.5	53.4	62.3	71.2	80.1	89.0	97.9	106.8
8.0	45.3	54.4	63.4	72.5	81.6	90.6	99.7	108.8
8.2	46.1	55.4	64.6	73.8	83.0	92.2	101.5	110.7
8.4	46.8	56.2	65.5	74.9	84.3	93.6	103.0	112.4
8.6	47.4	56.8	66.3	75.8	85.3	94.8	104.2	113.7
8.8	47.9	57.5	67.1	76.7	86.3	95.9	105.5	115.0
9.0	48.4	58.1	67.8	77.5	87.2	96.9	106.6	116.2
9.2	48.9	58.6	68.4	78.2	88.0	97.8	107.5	117.3
9.4	49.2	59.1	69.0	78.8	88.6	98.5	108.4	118.2
9.6	49.5	59.4	69.3	79.2	89.1	99.0	108.9	118.8
9.8	49.8	59.8	69.7	79.7	89.7	99.6	109.6	119.6
10.0+	50.0	60.0	70.0	80.0	90.0	100.0	110.0	120.0

aged at stocking level 80 would be thinned to 35.2 square feet if average diameter is 4.0 inches. Each rethinning will leave 80 square feet of basal area per acre after diameter averages 10.0 inches.

Relationships shown in table 1 were developed originally for ponderosa pine.² They were judged to be suitable for lodgepole pine because similar intensities of thinning have been recommended for the two species.^{3 4}

Stands that conform to the first three management decisions will grow about as indicated by the following averages:

1. Stands with about 2,000 trees per acre at age 10 will contain about 1,700 trees at age 20, regardless of site quality. Total loss for the 10 years will be about 15 percent.
2. Numbers of trees per acre will be reduced by the following percentages as stand age increases from 20 to 30 years:

<u>Site index</u>	<u>Reduction</u> (Percent)
40, 50	3
60	6
70, 80	9

Similar reductions will occur over a range of 1,200 to 1,700 trees per acre at age 20.

3. Average stand diameter before thinning at age 30 will be as follows:

<u>Site index</u>	<u>Diameter</u> (Inches)
30	2.6
40	2.9
50	3.2
60	3.4
70	3.6
80	3.8

As mentioned previously, management decisions for particular areas may differ from

those given here. Numbers of trees and average diameters that relate to other objectives can be determined on temporary plots, if necessary. The working tools presented below will remain useful so long as even-aged stands and thinning from below are retained in management prescriptions.

Working Tools

Six working tools are used to compile yield tables for managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine. Three tools provide predictions of future changes in a stand due to growth or thinning. One serves as a check to insure that realistic projections are made. Two tools permit conversion of stand density and height to stand volumes in various units.

Periodic Increase In Stand Diameter

Future average stand diameter can be estimated from the following relationship:

$$D_f = 2.1450 + 1.0222 D_p - 1,2417 \log B_p + 0.0151 S \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where:

D_f = average stand diameter in 10 years, in inches,

D_p = present stand diameter, in inches,

B_p = present basal area per acre, in square feet,

S = site index for lodgepole pine.⁵

Absence of thinning, fire, or other disturbance during the 10-year prediction period is assumed.

This relationship is applicable to even-aged stands of lodgepole pine with stocking levels of 50 to 170 at the beginning of the prediction period. Diameters sampled ranged from 2 to 12 inches; site indexes ranged from 30 to 76.

³ Alexander, Robert R. *Thinning lodgepole pine in the Central Rocky Mountains.* J. Forest. 58: 99-104, illus. 1960.

⁴ Myers, Clifford A. *Thinning improves development of young stands of ponderosa pine in the Black Hills.* J. Forest. 56: 656-659, illus. 1958.

⁵ Alexander, Robert R. *Site indexes for lodgepole pine, with correction for stand density: Instructions for field use.* U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Note RM-24, 7 p., illus. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ft. Collins, Colo. 1966.

Limited extrapolation to larger diameters is possible and cannot be avoided at present. Well-stocked stands with average diameter larger than 12 inches that were also younger than about 200 years could not be found. Comparison with a similar prediction equation for ponderosa pine indicated that increase in the range of D_p sampled would not change the form of the equation above. Material change in the values of the regression constants appeared unlikely. Extrapolations for the yield tables (tables 5 to 14) were therefore made well beyond what might otherwise be done.

Diameter Increase From Thinning

Thinning from below will increase average stand diameter, regardless of changes caused by tree growth. Initial thinning to a desired stocking level will produce a relatively large increase. Rethinning to the same level to which the stand was thinned previously will result in a smaller change. Both increases are large enough to require consideration in growth predictions.

Rethinning an even-aged stand to the same growing stock level to which it was thinned 10 years previously will increase average diameter 0.3 inch. Rethinning after 30 years will increase diameter 0.5 inch. These changes occurred in stands with growing stock levels between 60 and 150.

Increase in average diameter caused by initial thinning of young stands with 1,200 to 4,400 trees per acre can be determined from table 2. Instructions for construction of such tables are available elsewhere.⁶ To predict diameter changes, follow this procedure:

1. Determine the growing stock level to which the stand is to be thinned.
2. On a sheet of cross-section paper, plot basal areas from the appropriate column of table 1 over the corresponding diameters. Connect the points with a smooth line; this is the stocking line. Consider only the range of diameters within which diameter after thinning is expected to fall, such as from 2.5 to 4.5 inches.

⁶ See footnote 2, p. 1.

3. From table 2 obtain the diameter after thinning that corresponds to initial diameter, and the estimated percentage of trees to be retained. For example, average stand diameter increases from 2.9 to 4.0 inches if 25 percent of the trees remain uncut.
4. Compute the basal area of the trees retained when average diameter is the after-thinning value read in step 3. For example, 25 percent of 1,650 trees, or 412.5 trees, have a basal area of 36.0 square feet when average diameter is 4.0 inches.
5. Plot computed basal area over diameter after thinning on the graph started in step 2.
6. Repeat steps 3 to 5 with a slightly different percentage of trees retained than used in step 3. Place a second point on the graph on the opposite side of the stocking line from the plotted point of step 5.
7. Draw a straight line through the points plotted in steps 5 and 6.
8. Read diameter after thinning on the horizontal axis of the graph, directly below intersection of the stocking line (step 2) and the trial line (step 7).

The plotted points of steps 5 and 6 should be as near as possible to the stocking line. A line connecting several plotted points on a single graph will only occasionally be straight. Interpolations in table 2 are unnecessary, however.

Periodic Increase In Basal Area

Future basal area per acre can be estimated from the following relationship:

$$B_f = 5.6456 + 0.9261 B_p + 0.2146 S + 0.0004 NS \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where:

B_f = basal area in 10 years, in square feet,
 B_p = present basal area, in square feet,
 S = site index for lodgepole pine,⁷
 N = present number of trees per acre.

This relationship is used to be sure that projections of diameter growth are reasonable, as described in Derivation of Yield Tables.

⁷ See footnote 5, p. 3.

Table 2.--Increase in average stand diameter caused by initial thinning from below. Even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Diameter :										
before :										
thinning :										
Percent of trees retained										
(inches) :	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
----- Diameter after thinning, inches -----										
2.5	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0
2.6	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2
2.7	4.4	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3
2.8	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4
2.9	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
3.0	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6
3.1	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7
3.2	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8
3.3	5.1	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9
3.4	5.2	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0
3.5	5.4	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1
3.6	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2
3.7	5.6	5.3	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3
3.8	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
3.9	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.2	5.1	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5
4.0	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6
4.1	6.1	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7
4.2	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0	4.9
4.3	6.3	6.1	5.9	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0
4.4	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1
4.5	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2

Height Of Dominants And Codominants

Future average heights of dominant and codominant lodgepole pines can be estimated if site index and stand age are known (table 3). In addition, the stands must never have been so dense that height growth was reduced. Heights at age 100 and site index class mid-points are not equal in table 3 because site indexes were obtained from the heights of dominant trees only.⁷

Stand Volume Equation

Stand volumes in cubic feet per acre can be determined from stand basal area and average height. The relationship is as follows:

$$V = 16.96 + 0.50 BH \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

$$r^2 = 0.998 \quad S_{y \cdot x} = 44.85 \text{ (2.3\% of mean)}$$

where:

V = gross volume per acre in cubic feet, from ground line to tip, of all trees larger than 4.5 feet tall,⁸

B = basal area per acre, in square feet,

H = average total height of dominant and codominant trees, in feet.

The equation is applicable to even-aged stands of lodgepole pine.

⁸ Myers, Clifford A. *Volume tables and point-sampling factors for lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming*. U.S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-6, 16 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Ft. Collins, Colo. 1964.

Table 3.--Average height of dominant and codominant trees at various ages, lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Main stand :		Site index class										
age	:											
(Years)	:	30	:	40	:	50	:	60	:	70	:	80
10		5		6		6		7		7		8
20		10		12		14		17		19		22
30		14		17		21		25		28		32
40		16		20		25		31		35		40
50		18		23		29		36		41		47
60		20		26		33		41		47		54
70		22		29		37		45		52		60
80		24		32		41		49		57		66
90		26		34		44		53		62		71
100		28		37		47		57		66		76
110		30		39		49		60		69		80
120		32		41		52		63		72		83
130		33		43		54		65		75		86
140		35		45		56		67		77		88
150		37		47		58		69		79		90

Volume Conversion Factors

Volumes in total cubic feet of stem wood provide reliable measures of volume that do not change as utilization standards vary. Total volumes should, however, be convertible to other units so forest production can be estimated in terms familiar to the forest industry. Volumes computed from the stand volume equation can be converted to cubic feet to a 4-inch top (table 4a) or to board feet (table 4b). Multiply total cubic feet by the factor appropriate for the desired unit and the average stand diameter. Measurement standards such as stump height and merchantable top diameter are the same as for the tree volume equations from which the stand volumes used to obtain the factors were computed.⁹

Derivation of Yield Tables

Two sets of yield tables were prepared to illustrate the procedure for derivation of managed-stand yield tables. Five tables (tables 5 to 9) are based on a cutting interval of 10 years. Tables 10 to 14 show the results obtainable from cutting at 30-year intervals.

⁹See footnote 8, p. 5.

Site indexes of 40 to 80 (base age 100 years) and stocking levels of 80 and 100 were selected for the examples because they are applicable to many stands in Colorado and Wyoming.

Procedure for the derivation of yield tables with 10-year cutting intervals is as follows:

1. Record number of trees and average stand diameter just prior to thinning for each site index class of interest. Compute basal area per acre from these two values. For example, the first set of entries for stand age 30 in table 5 describes stands ready for initial thinning.
2. Determine average diameter immediately after initial thinning from table 2 and the procedure described in the section "Diameter Increase from Thinning."
3. Obtain basal area immediately after initial thinning from the appropriate column of table 1 and the diameter determined in step 2. Divide this basal area by the basal area of the tree of average diameter to compute the number of trees present immediately after initial thinning.
4. Estimate average stand diameter in 10 years with equation 1. For example, 4.0 inches will increase to 4.9 inches in 10

Table 4a.--Factors for conversion of stand volumes in total cubic feet
to merchantable cubic feet per acre. Lodgepole pine in
Colorado and Wyoming^{1/}

Average stand : diameter : (inches)	Ratio of : merchantable to : : total volume	Average stand : diameter : (inches)	Ratio of : merchantable to : : total volume
5.8	.494	10.4	.931
6.0	.547	10.6	.934
6.2	.599	10.8	.936
6.4	.651	11.0	.937
6.6	.690	11.5	.941
6.8	.724	12.0	.945
7.0	.753	12.5	.948
7.2	.778	13.0	.950
7.4	.800	13.5	.952
7.6	.819	14.0	.953
7.8	.835	14.5	.954
8.0	.849	15.0	.955
8.2	.861	15.5	.956
8.4	.871	16.0	.957
8.6	.880	16.5	.958
8.8	.888	17.0	.959
9.0	.896	17.5	.960
9.2	.902	18.0	.961
9.4	.908	18.5	.962
9.6	.914	19.0	.963
9.8	.919	19.5	.964
10.0	.924	20.0	.965
10.2	.928	20.5	.966

^{1/} To 4.0-inch top in trees 6.0 inches d.b.h. and larger.

Table 4b.--Factors for conversion of stand volumes in total cubic
feet to board feet per acre^{1/}

Average stand diameter (inches)	:	Ratio of board feet Scribner to total volume	:	Ratio of board feet Inter. $\frac{1}{4}$ " to total volume
9.6		2.05		2.42
9.8		2.23		2.62
10.0		2.40		2.81
10.2		2.57		3.00
10.4		2.72		3.18
10.6		2.86		3.35
10.8		3.00		3.50
11.0		3.13		3.65
11.2		3.24		3.79
11.4		3.36		3.92
11.6		3.45		4.04
11.8		3.54		4.16
12.0		3.63		4.26
12.2		3.71		4.36
12.4		3.78		4.46
12.6		3.85		4.55
12.8		3.92		4.64
13.0		3.98		4.72
13.5		4.11		4.88
14.0		4.22		5.01
14.5		4.32		5.12
15.0		4.41		5.20
15.5		4.48		5.26
16.0		4.55		5.32
16.5		4.61		5.37
17.0		4.67		5.41
17.5		4.72		5.45
18.0		4.77		5.48
18.5		4.82		5.51
19.0		4.86		5.54
19.5		4.90		5.57
20.0		4.94		5.60
20.5		4.97		5.62

^{1/} In trees 10.0 inches d.b.h. and larger to an 8-inch top.

years under the conditions given in table 5.

5. Reduce number of trees present immediately after initial thinning by the amount of periodic loss. Percentage loss is determined from stand diameter, as follows:

<u>Average stand diameter</u> (Inches)	<u>Trees lost</u> <u>in 10 years</u> (Percent)
3.0 - 3.9	1.4
4.0 - 4.9	0.9
5.0 - 5.9	0.7
6.0 - 6.9	0.6
7.0 - 7.9	0.4
8.0 - 8.9	0.2
9.0+	0.0

For example, 403 trees at age 30 will be reduced to 399 trees at age 40 if average diameter is 4.0 inches at age 30.

6. Multiply number of trees (step 5) by the basal area of the tree of computed average diameter (step 4) to obtain basal area per acre 10 years after initial thinning. Retain one or two decimal places until the yield table is completed and checked.
7. Increase average diameter 0.3 inch to convert diameter before the second thinning to diameter after thinning. See the section "Diameter Increase from Thinning."
8. Obtain from table 1 the basal area after second thinning. Enter the table with the diameter after thinning of step 7 and the growing stock level already decided upon.
9. Divide basal area after second thinning (step 8) by the basal area of the tree of average diameter after second thinning (step 7) to obtain number of trees per acre.
10. Repeat steps 4 to 9 until the desired stand age is reached.
11. Compute the periodic changes in basal area with equation 2. Do not enter the results in the yield table, but compare them with yield table values. Basal areas from the equation and basal areas entered in the yield table will agree within ± 3.5 square feet if the projections of steps 4 to 10 are reasonable.
12. Record heights in the average height column of the yield table. Copy average dominant and codominant heights from the appropriate column of table 3.
13. Compute total cubic feet per acre before and after thinning for each stand age in the

yield table. Use the stand volume equation, (equation 3). Do not round off volumes as shown in table 5 until the yield table is completed and checked.

14. Convert total cubic feet to other units per acre with the factors of tables 4a and 4b. Footnotes to these tables indicate the portion of the stand measured. The first entry of merchantable or board-foot volume in a yield table may occasionally show volume after thinning to be slightly larger than volume before thinning. In such cases, use the computed volume after thinning for both entries.
15. Round off basal area and volume values to remove unnecessary units and decimals that were retained during computations and checking.
16. Subtract values after thinning from values before thinning to obtain the periodic cuts. Volumes and basal areas of trees that died during the rotation could be computed and added to rotation totals, if desired. The amounts should be so small in managed stands that this computation would be unnecessary.

Yield tables with cutting cycles other than 10 years (tables 10 to 14) are derived with almost the same procedure as that outlined above. Differences are as follows:

1. Stand diameter, basal area, and number of trees are computed for each 10-year period. Computed values are then used in projections for the following 10 years with no changes due to thinning until the proper stand ages for cutting are reached.
2. Rethinning to the assigned stocking level will increase average stand diameter 0.4 inch with a 20-year cutting cycle and 0.5 inch with a 30-year cutting cycle.

After the yield tables have been compiled and checked, they are suitable for a variety of uses. Rotations may be determined from culmination of mean annual increment, with the effect of thinnings included in the computation. Rotations may be determined from the soil rent formula, with the yield tables providing data from which to compute money yields and costs of precommercial thinning. Site index classes may be converted to a productivity

classification based on a value such as maximum mean annual increment.

For all these purposes and many others, the yield table procedure given here provides:

- (1) information now instead of after many

- years' work on permanent plots, (2) a choice of alternatives for important management controls such as growing stock level, and (3) a means for quickly recomputing management guides if stocking goals or product objectives change.

Table 5.--Yields per acre of managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Site index 40, 10-year cutting cycle.

Stand age (years)	No.	Basal : area : d.b.h.	Ave. : In.	Ft.	Average : height	Volume		Periodic cut			
						Total : Total	Merch. : Sawtimber	Trees : Trees	Basal : area	Total : vol.	Merch. : vol.
		Sq.ft.				- - Cu.ft.	Bd.ft.	No.	Sq.ft.	- - Cu.ft.	Bd.ft.
10	2,000	4	0.6	6							
30	1,650	76	2.9	17	660						
30	403	35	4.0	17	320			1,247	41	340	0
40	399	52	4.9	20	540						
40	331	49	5.2	20	500			68	3	40	0
50	329	65	6.0	23	760	440					
50	274	59	6.3	23	700	440		55	6	60	0
60	272	73	7.0	26	960	720					
60	233	68	7.3	26	900	710		39	5	60	10
70	232	79	7.9	29	1,160	980					
70	201	74	8.2	29	1,090	940		31	5	70	40
80	201	85	8.8	32	1,380	1,220					
80	172	78	9.1	32	1,260	1,140		29	7	120	80
90	172	88	9.7	34	1,520	1,390	3,300				
90	147	80	10.0	34	1,380	1,270	3,300	25	8	140	120
100	147	90	10.6	37	1,680	1,570	4,800				
100	123	80	10.9	37	1,500	1,400	4,600	24	10	180	170
110	123	89	11.5	39	1,750	1,640	5,900				
110	105	80	11.8	39	1,580	1,490	5,600	18	9	170	150
120	105	88	12.4	41	1,820	1,730	6,900	105	88	1,820	1,730
											6,900

Table 7.--Yields per acre of managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Site index 60, 10-year cutting cycle.

Stand age (years)	No.	Trees : area	Basal : area	Ave. : d.b.h.	In.	Ft.	Average height	Volume			Periodic cut			
								Total : Total	Merch. : Sawtimber	Bd.ft.	No.	Sq.ft.	- Cu.ft.-	- Bd.ft.
10	2,000	4	0.6			7								
30	1,600	101	3.4			25		1,280						
30	366	42	4.6			25		540			1,234	59	740	0
40	363	64	5.7			31		1,010	490					
40	288	57	6.0			31		890	490		75	7	120	0
50	286	76	7.0			36		1,390	1,050					
50	233	68	7.3			36		1,240	970		53	8	150	0
60	232	85	8.2			41		1,760	1,520					
60	191	75	8.5			41		1,560	1,370		41	10	200	150
70	191	92	9.4			45		2,090	1,900	3,900				
70	155	79	9.7			45		1,810	1,650	3,900	36	13	280	250
80	155	95	10.6			49		2,340	2,190	6,700				
80	123	80	10.9			49		1,980	1,850	6,000	32	15	360	340
90	123	93	11.8			53		2,490	2,350	8,800				
90	100	80	12.1			53		2,140	2,020	7,800	23	13	350	330
100	100	94	13.1			57		2,680	2,550	10,800				
100	82	80	13.4			57		2,300	2,190	9,400	18	14	380	360
110	82	93	14.4			60		2,800	2,670	12,000				
110	68	80	14.7			60		2,420	2,310	10,500	14	13	380	360
120	68	91	15.7			63		2,900	2,770	13,100	68	91	2,900	2,770
														13,100

Table 8.--Yields per acre of managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Site index 70, 10-year cutting cycle.

Stand age : (years):	: Basal : Ave. : Average :				: Volume :		: Periodic cut :			
	Trees : area :	Sq.ft.	In.	Ft.	- - Cu.ft.- -	Bd.ft.	Trees : area :	Sq.ft.	- - Cu.ft.- -	Bd.ft.
10	2,000	4	0.6	7						
30	1,550	110	3.6	28	1,550					
30	458	53	4.6	28	760		1,092	57	790	0
40	454	83	5.8	35	1,470	730				
40	355	72	6.1	35	1,280	730	99	11	190	0
50	353	97	7.1	41	2,010	1,540				
50	286	86	7.4	41	1,770	1,420	67	11	240	0
60	285	110	8.4	47	2,590	2,260				
60	231	95	8.7	47	2,260	2,000	54	15	330	0
70	231	116	9.6	52	3,040	2,770				
70	187	100	9.9	52	2,610	2,410	44	16	430	100
80	187	119	10.8	57	3,410	3,190				
80	149	100	11.1	57	2,870	2,690	38	19	540	1,100
90	149	119	12.1	62	3,710	3,510				
90	119	100	12.4	62	3,120	2,950	30	19	590	1,800
100	119	117	13.4	66	3,860	3,680				
100	98	100	13.7	66	3,320	3,160	21	17	540	2,000
110	98	116	14.7	69	4,000	3,820				
110	81	100	15.0	69	3,470	3,310	17	16	530	2,100
120	81	115	16.1	72	4,140	3,960	81	115	4,140	18,900

Table 9.--Yields per acre of managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Site index 80, 10-year cutting cycle.

Stand age (years)	No.	Trees : area	Basal : area	Ave. d.b.h.	In.	Ft.	Average height	Volume		Trees	Periodic cut	
								Total : Merch.	- Cu.ft.-		Basal : Total	Merch. : Sawtimber vol.
		Sq.ft.								No.	Sq.ft.	- Cu.ft.-
10	2,000	4	0.6	8								
30	1,550	122	3.8	32	1,970					1,114	65	1,040
30	436	57	4.9	32	930							0
40	432	91	6.2	40	1,830	1,100						
40	332	76	6.5	40	1,540	1,040				100	15	290
												60
												0
50	330	107	7.7	47	2,520	2,090						
50	260	91	8.0	47	2,150	1,820				70	16	370
												270
												0
60	259	117	9.1	54	3,180	2,850						
60	204	98	9.4	54	2,680	2,430				55	19	500
												420
												0
70	204	123	10.5	60	3,700	3,450						
70	157	100	10.8	60	3,020	2,820				47	23	680
												630
												1,200
80	157	121	11.9	66	4,020	3,790						
80	123	100	12.2	66	3,320	3,140				34	21	700
												650
												2,100
90	123	119	13.3	71	4,230	4,020						
90	99	100	13.6	71	3,570	3,400				24	19	660
												620
												2,500
100	99	118	14.8	76	4,510	4,310						
100	80	100	15.1	76	3,820	3,650				19	18	690
												660
												2,800
110	80	116	16.3	80	4,650	4,460						
110	67	100	16.6	80	4,020	3,850				13	16	630
												610
												2,800
120	67	116	17.8	83	4,820	4,630				67	116	4,820
												4,630
												22,900

Site index 40, 30-year cutting cycle.

- 16 -

Table 13.--Yields per acre of managed, even-aged stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming.

Site index 70, 30-year cutting cycle.

Stand age (years)	: : :			: : :			: : :			Periodic cut		
	No.	Sq.ft.	In.	Ft.	- Cu.ft.-	-	Bd.ft.	No.	Sq.ft.	- Cu.ft.-	-	Bd.ft.
10	2,000	4	0.6	7								
30	1,550	110	3.6	28	1,550							
30	458	53	4.6	28	760			1,092	57	790	0	0
60	448	137	7.5	47	3,250	2,630						
60	260	91	8.0	47	2,150	1,820		188	46	1,100	810	0
90	258	158	10.6	62	4,920	4,590	14,100					
90	149	100	11.1	62	3,120	2,920	9,900	109	58	1,800	1,670	4,200
120	149	155	13.8	72	5,590	5,330	23,400	149	155	5,590	5,330	23,400
								Totals:	1,538	316	9,280	27,600

Site index 80, 30-year cutting cycle.

Agriculture --- CSU, Ft. Collins

Myers, Clifford A.

1967. Yield tables for managed stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming. U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-26, 20 pp. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521.

Presents working tools useful in the compilation of yield tables for managed, even-aged stands. Stand age at initial thinning, stocking goals, and other management controls may be varied as desired. The yield table that best describes the objectives of management becomes the standard for the forest.

Myers, Clifford A.

1967. Yield tables for managed stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming. U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-26, 20 pp. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521.

Presents working tools useful in the compilation of yield tables for managed, even-aged stands. Stand age at initial thinning, stocking goals, and other management controls may be varied as desired. The yield table that best describes the objectives of management becomes the standard for the forest.

Myers, Clifford A.

1967. Yield tables for managed stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming. U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-26, 20 pp. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521.

Presents working tools useful in the compilation of yield tables for managed, even-aged stands. Stand age at initial thinning, stocking goals, and other management controls may be varied as desired. The yield table that best describes the objectives of management becomes the standard for the forest.

Myers, Clifford A.

1967. Yield tables for managed stands of lodgepole pine in Colorado and Wyoming. U. S. Forest Serv. Res. Paper RM-26, 20 pp. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521.

Presents working tools useful in the compilation of yield tables for managed, even-aged stands. Stand age at initial thinning, stocking goals, and other management controls may be varied as desired. The yield table that best describes the objectives of management becomes the standard for the forest.

ABOUT THE COVER:

Hairy grama (Bouteloua hirsuta), a desirable forage grass characteristic of many southwestern ranges.